Program: Program Evaluator - Assessment Center

Division: Disease Prevention and Response

Staff: Marion Lee

| | | Revision: June 22, 2006 Page | - | | Completion Date: Febr | ruary 13, 2006 | | |
|--|---|--|--|---|--|---|---|-------------|
| Program | Inputs | Activities | Outputs | Process | Impact | Population | Indicators | Standards |
| Theory | | | | Outcomes | Outcomes | Outcomes | | Mod. Pract. |
| Spokane Regional | Program evaluator | Assist with program quality ass | urance measures throu | igh logic models | | | | |
| Health District programs can be enhanced through program evaluation. Tools such as logic models, work flow studies and referencing model | Computers Software PHIMS Primary & Secondary data sources | completing or revising logic models including indicator overview sheets Create materials to educate staff | # of logic models created # of revisions % of agency programs with logic models # of materials created | Staff viewed the program evaluator as effective. Materials were | working with staff resulted in a change (program, practice, etc) | Programs implemented through SHRD were science based and therefore more effective at impacting the health of community members. | participation rates. Document and implement lessons learned. Biannually review | AS3.2L |
| practices will be utilized to increase program effectiveness. | Data collection tools Internet resources SRHD Intranet | on logic models (including creation, implementation and revision). Identify logic model barriers (creation and implementation) and design and implement strategies to eliminate them. | # of identified barriers # of strategies created and implemented | reviewed by another staff person and met agency standards. Strategies were identified and addressed. | Program strategies were changed to overcome identified barriers. | | logic model survey data to assess barrier trends. Quarterly update and review tracking tool to assess participation rates and identify programs for outreach efforts. | |
| | | Coordinate and facilitate trainings on all facets of logic models to SRHD staff. | # of presentations # of staff in attendance # of intranet hits 2 weeks after presentation | Presentations met objectives. | Lessons learned from presentations resulted in a change (increased participation, practice, trends identified, program strategies, etc). | | Implement training evaluation tool to assess objectives met & increased knowledge. Biannually review tracking tool for participation rates. | AS3.4L |

SRHD

Program: Program Evaluator - Assessment Center

Division: Disease Prevention and Response

Revision: June 22, 2006

Page 2

Staff: Marion Lee

Completion Date: February 13, 2006

| Program Theory | Inputs | Activities | Outputs | Process Outcomes | Impact Outcomes | Population Outcomes | Indicators | Standards Mod. Pract. |
|---------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|
| Spokane Regional | Program evaluator | Assist with program quality assi | urance measures throu | | | Outcomes | | Mou. 1 fact. |
| | | | # of new programs | Interaction with other | | Programs | Biannually review | |
| programs can be | SRHD Staff | | entering into the logic | staff and programs met | | C | tracking tool to assess | |
| enhanced through | | opportunities with SRHD staff to | | program goals. | | SHRD were science | the # of new logic | |
| program evaluation. | | recruit new participants to the | • | | | based and therefore | model participants. | |
| Tools such as logic | PHIMS | logic model process. | | | | more effective at | | |
| models, work flow | Primary & | | | | | impacting the health | | |
| studies and | Secondary data | Share results with Management | # of presentations | Information shared was | Lessons learned from | of community | Biannually review | AS3.1L |
| referencing model | sources | | # of audience | accurate, current, and | presentations to agency | members. | meeting notes to track | |
| practices will | Data collection | | # of materials | relevant. | leadership resulted in a | | audience discussions | |
| be utilized to | tools | * | # of reports | | change in practice or | | of logic model work, | |
| increase program | Internet resources | | - | | procedure. | | reports and | |
| effectiveness. | SRHD Intranet | | | | | | presentations. | |
| | | Follow up biannually with SRHD | # of follow up | Staff found logic | Lessons learned from | | Implement internal | |
| | | 1 | meetings / contacts | model use valuable. | survey resulted in a | | customer service | |
| | | their logic models to ensure they | <i>S</i> | | change in practice or | | survey to assess | |
| | | are useful and offer assistance. | | | procedure. | | impact. Document and | |
| | | | | | | | implement changes. | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | Educate staff on model practice | and advocate for its u | se | | | | |
| | | Research, market and | # of materials created | Materials were current | | | Annually audit | |
| | | disseminate (including posting to | and disseminated | and relevant to | | | tracking tool to assess | |
| | | the intranet) current evidence- | | programs. | | | model practice use. | |
| | | based public health practice | # of information | | | | Implement customer | |
| | | information. | posted to the intranet | | | | service survey to | |
| | | | | | | | assess material value. | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

SRHD Program: Program Evaluator - Assessment Center
Division: Disease Prevention and Response
Revision: June 22, 2006 Page 3

Completion Date: February 13, 2006

| Program | Inputs | Activities | Outputs | Process | Impact | Population | Indicators | Standards Mod Proof |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|---------------------|
| Theory | Due cuere esselvente | F.J 4 4 - 66 1 - 1 4 | | Outcomes | Outcomes | Outcomes | | Mod. Pract. |
| Spokane Regional Health District | | Educate staff on model practice | | | | | • | |
| Health District | SRHD Staff | Assist program staff in utilizing | # of programs using | Materials were current | | Programs | Biannually audit | |
| programs can be | | and integrating model practices | evidence based | and relevant to | | implemented through | _ | |
| enhanced through | Computers Software | (evidence-based) into | (model) practices | programs. | | SHRD were science | model practice use. | |
| program evaluation. | PHIMS | programming. | | | | based and therefore | Implement customer | |
| Tools such as logic | | | | | | more effective at | service survey to | |
| models, work flow studies and | Primary & Secondary data | | | | | impacting the health | assess material value. | |
| referencing model | sources | | | | | of community | | |
| practices will | Data collection | | | | | members. | | |
| be utilized to | tools | Coordinate and facilitate | # of presentations | Trainings met | Lessons learned | | Implement | |
| increase program | Internet resources | trainings on model practice use. | • | objectives and a level | resulted in a change in | | presentation | |
| effectiveness. | SRHD Intranet | | # of staff in attendance | of satisfaction | practice or procedure | | evaluation tool to | |
| criceti veness. | SKIID Intranct | | | | (for either the Program | | assess objective were | |
| | | | | | Evaluator or program). | | met. Document and | |
| | | | | | | | implement lessons | |
| | | | | | | | learned. | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | Assist with program quality ass | urance measures throu | l igh data collection supp | oort | | | |
| | | Support with the creation and | # of tools created or | Data collection tools | Due to data collection | | Biannually conduct | AS3.3L |
| | | implementation of data collection | | collected information | tools work, programs | | • | AS3.5L |
| | | tools. | | to meet indicator goals. | or services were | | those with logic | |
| | | | # of referrals to | | modified to improve | | models) to assess data | |
| | | | assessment center for | | effectiveness. | | collection status. | |
| | | Assist staff in developing | additional support | | | | Document and | |
| | | baseline data through collection | | | | | implement lessons | |
| | | and analysis. | | | | | learned and impact. | |
| | | | | | | | Î | |
| | | | | | | | | |

SRHD

Program: Program Evaluator - Assessment Center

Division: Disease Prevention and Response

Revision: June 22, 2006 Page 4

Staff: Marion Lee

Completion Date: February 13, 2006

| Program Theory | Inputs | Activities | Outputs | Process Outcomes | Impact Outcomes | Population Outcomes | Indicators | Standards Mod. Pract. |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|---|--|--------------------------|
| Spokane Regional | Program evaluator | | | Outcomes | outcomes | Outcomes | | Mod. Tiuck |
| Health District programs can be enhanced through program evaluation. Tools such as logic models, work flow studies and referencing model practices will be utilized to increase program effectiveness. | Assessment Center SRHD Staff Computers Software PHIMS Primary & Secondary data sources Data collection | Research work flow studies, assist in identifying programs that could benefit from going through the process and make related recommendations. Research the Omaha Taxonomy structure, assist in identifying programs that could use it and make related recommendations. Act as an agency QM resource. | # of hours spent researching # of reports / recommendations # of technical assistance requests | Consultation requests were met in a timely manner. | Information provided to targeted audiences lead to improvements in policies or programs. | Programs implemented through SHRD were science based and therefore more effective at impacting the health of community members. | log to assess time spent researching and consultation requests | |
| | | Work with PIO to disseminate information about logic models. | 1 | Communication occurred in line with the Program Evaluator work plan goals. | Information disseminated resulted in a change (increase logic model participation rates, increased intranet hits, etc) | | Quarterly review work plan to assess educational timeline was met, track intranet / program evaluation hits to assess effectiveness of promotion, and tracking tool to assess participation. | |

SRHD

Program: Program Evaluator - Assessment Center

Division: Disease Prevention and Response

Revision: June 22, 2006

Page 5

Staff: Marion Lee

Completion Date: February 13, 2006

| Program | Inputs | Activities | Outputs | Process | Impact | Population | Indicators | Standards |
|---------------------|--------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---|-------------------------|---------------|-------------------------|-------------|
| Theory | | | | Outcomes | Outcomes | Outcomes | | Mod. Pract. |
| Spokane Regional | | Engage in on-going knowledge a | nd skill development f | | | | | |
| Health District | Assessment Center | Staff attend trainings and share | # of trainings | Trainings were in line | Staff increased ability | | Informal assessment | AS1.5L |
| programs can be | SRHD Staff | knowledge gained (when | | with program goals and | to conduct assessments | | of trainings ensuring | AS3.4L |
| enhanced through | Computers | appropriate) | | staff development | and evaluations of | | staff found training | |
| program evaluation. | Software | | | objectives | programs | | useful; | |
| Tools such as logic | PHIMS | | | | | | recommendations | |
| models, work flow | Primary & | | | | | | documented and | |
| studies and | Secondary data | | | | | | implemented | |
| referencing model | sources | | | | | | | |
| practices will | Data collection | | | | | | | |
| be utilized to | tools | Programs and services are evaluation | lated to document effe | ctiveness | | | | |
| increase program | Internet resources | Develop annual plan to address | Annual plan complete | | Program changed due | Same as above | Results from annual | AS3.3L |
| effectiveness. | SRHD Intranet | program needs identified the | | | to annual review. | Same as above | review of logic model | |
| | | | and budget approved by Executive Team | program changes that met identified program | | | indicators are used for | AS S.SL |
| | | previous year. | by Executive Team | | | | | |
| | | | | needs. | | | planning next year's | |
| | | | | | | | programs / projects. | |
| | | | | | | | Review and update | |
| | | | | | | | quarterly. | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

